

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,830	07/10/2003	Maurizio Valle	CAM3-PT045	2681	
3624 7	7590 09/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
VOLPE AND	KOENIG, P.C.		DEPUMPO, DANIEL G		
UNITED PLA	ZA, SUITE 1600				
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			3611	3611	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Angliadia Na	Annih annih				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/616,830	VALLE, MAURIZIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel G. DePumpo	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	ul <u>y 200</u> 3.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-32 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/10/03</u> . 6) Other:						

ŀ.

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and species A (claims 1-11 readable thereon) in the reply filed on 8/4/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would allegedly be no burden on the office to examine the separate and distinct inventions. This is not found persuasive because the requirements for a proper restriction have been met, and have been set forth in the office action. Contrary to applicant's assertions, there would be a serious burden on the office to examine multiple separate and distinct inventions.

Page 2

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and In re Hawkins, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 3, 5-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, "the desired axial distance" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 5, "destined" is vague and indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,830

Art Unit: 3611

√.

In claim 6, "the fastening hole" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 10 recites a plurality of "structure units". It is unclear how these units correspond to the "single structural unit" of claim 8. This appears to be a double positive recitation.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the German reference 892 561 (DT '561).

In fig. 8, DT '561 teaches a support member having the structure as claimed. The device includes an engagement portion 1/5, a fastening portion having a hole 9, an axial projection 23, and a weight saving cavity (fig. 7). Portions 1/5 and 9 are considered to comprise a plurality of structural units.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DT '561 in view of Nakamura.

As set forth above, DT '561 teaches substantially all that is claimed, but it is unclear what material the support is made of. Nakamura, however, discloses that aluminum (col. 1, line 40) is

Art Unit: 3611

a typical material for a sprocket support. It would have been obvious to use aluminum for the sprocket support, as taught by Nakamura, since this is typical due to its light weight.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 8/30/04